

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/462,017	03/30/2000		HANS-JUERGEN HENKEL	2345/112	4579
26646	7590	11/18/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON &		ON	CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2686	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/462,017	HENKEL, HANS-JUERGEN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joy K. Contee	2686
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-28 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-28 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 16-20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction and subject to restriction.	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Barrier and State of the	ccepted or b) objected to by the lead of a common or by the lead of a common or by the lead of the drawing(s) is objected to by the lead of the drawing(s) is objected to by the lead of the drawing(s) is objected to by the lead of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Application or the documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Askew et al. (Askew), US. Patent No. 5,182,744, in view of Fontanes, US. Patent No. 4,731,783.

Regarding claim 15, Askew discloses a process for controlling a use of a satellite transmission capacity in order to achieve a substitution of out-of-order data lines in terrestrial networks such that an alternative routing via a satellite is initiated and monitored and an assignment is effected is effected with respect to the alternative routing, the process comprising the steps of:

causing the plurality of controllers to detect a need for the alternative routing based on an analysis of a data control signal from a data transmission device of a user (col. 4, lines 42-65).

Askew fails to explicitly disclose causing a plurality of controllers controlled by a routine and switchover logic and respectively allocated to one of a master terminal and a slave terminal to achieve a control that is automatic, decentralized, and local and

Application/Control Number: 09/462,017

Art Unit: 2686

using a control logic to monitor locally and automatically an occupancy state of the satellite transmission capacity; and carrying out logic-controlled alternative operations via a respective one of the plurality of controllers.

In a similar field of endeavor, Fontanes discloses causing a plurality of controllers controlled by a routine and switchover logic and respectively allocated to one of a master terminal and a slave terminal to achieve a control that is automatic, decentralized, and local and using a control logic to monitor locally and automatically an occupancy state of the satellite transmission capacity; and carrying out logic-controlled alternative operations via a respective one of the plurality of controllers (col. 7,line 49 to col. 9,line 1).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Askewi to include decentralized and local control over usage of the transmission capacity in a high demand environment.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 21-27 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 16-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2686

Shinbashi et al. U.S. Patent No 5,796,717 discloses a system for switching from working units to stand-by units.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joy K. Contee whose telephone number is 571.272.7906. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 571.272.7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JC